Revised: 10 May 2022

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Brain and Behavior

Open Access WILEY

Correlation of age and the diameter of the cervical nerve roots C5 and C6 during the first 2 years of life analyzed by high-resolution ultrasound imaging

Jacoba van der Linde 1		Carole Jenny ¹		Thomas Hundsberger ²		Philip J. Broser ^{1,3} 💿

¹Faculty of Medicine, University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland

²Department of Neurology, Cantonal Hospital, Sankt Gallen, Switzerland

³Department of Neuropediatrics, Children's Hospital of Eastern Switzerland, Sankt Gallen, Switzerland

Correspondence

Philip J. Broser, Department of Neuropediatrics, Children's Hospital of Eastern Switzerland, Claudiusstrasse 6, CH-9006 St. Gallen, Switzerland. Email: Broser@kispisg.ch; Philip.Broser@icloud.com

Thomas Hundsberger and Philip J. Broser contributed equally to this work.

Funding information

Science Commission of the Cantonal Hospital, St. Gallen, Grant/Award Number: 20/31; Stiftung OPOS zugunsten von Wahrnehmungsbehinderten, St. Gallen, CH.

Abstract

Aim: To analyze the increase in diameter of the nerve roots C5 and C6 in early childhood.

Methods: The nerve roots of 56 children aged 0 days to 10 years (47 younger than 2 years) were examined by high-resolution ultrasound imaging. The correlation of diameter and age was statistically tested and a logarithmic regression analysis was performed to develop a logarithmic growth model.

Results: The increase in nerve root diameter is greatest during the first 2 years of life and then the growth rate decreases steadily. The relationship between age and diameter follows a logarithmic curve ($p < 10^{-8}$).

Interpretation: The main increase in the diameter of the nerve roots happens in the first 2 years of life. Comparing data from a previous study, our data also suggest that the maturation of the proximal part of the median nerve is comparable to the maturation of its distal segments. This suggests a synchronous maturation of the axons and myelin sheath for the whole extent of the nerve, from the radix to its very distal part.

What This Paper Adds: Normative values for the size of the cervical nerve roots C5 and C6; an insight into the maturation of the proximal parts of the peripheral nervous system; and the correlation between age and cervical root diameter.

KEYWORDS high-resolution ultrasound imaging, maturation of the peripheral nervous system

1 | INTRODUCTION

An increase in nerve conduction velocity is a crucial hallmark of peripheral nervous system maturation in children during the first years of life (Raimbault 1988). Besides a basic understanding of the maturation of Schwann cells and the development of the nerve sheath (Kaplan et al., 2009), noninvasive observation of in vivo morphological changes was not possible until the introduction of dynamic

high-resolution ultrasound imaging of the peripheral nervous system (Walker & Cartwright, 2011). In vivo monitoring of the maturation of the peripheral nervous system allows understanding of the underlying physiology and provides diagnostic and therapeutic knowledge to manage pathophysiological situations like nerve injuries and plexus palsies.

A previous study (Jenny et al., 2020) demonstrated that the crosssectional area of the median nerve increases in a logarithmic manner

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2022 The Authors. Brain and Behavior published by Wiley Periodicals LLC.



FIGURE 1 (a) Placement of the ultrasound probe on the neck to scan for the nerve roots C6 and C5. (b) Example images of the two nerve roots C5-left and C6-left at the age of 150 days. Two points "A" limit the diameter (diameter C5: 1.71 mm, C6: 1.74 mm; scale bar applies to both images and is 10 mm). (c) Age distribution of all subjects included in the study. Top image: Age distribution of the subjects in the main study group (aged < 2 years, precise age < 700 days). Each age segment represents 100 days



FIGURE 2 Typical ultrasound images of the nerve roots C5-left and C5-right for all three locations from three participants aged 26, 50, and 500 days. The scale bar on the lower right corner is 10 mm and applies to all images

from birth up to the age of 10 years. Interestingly, the nerve conduction velocity also increases in the same logarithmic manner (García-García & Calleja-Fernández, 2004; Parano et al., 1993). However, at present it is unclear if the proximal parts of the peripheral nervous system, that is, nerve roots, develop in a similar fashion as the distal parts of the

peripheral nervous system. Wang and Wang (2020) recently published normative values for the size of nerve roots in children; however, they categorized their patients in age groups of 1–3 years, therefore a yearwise detailed analysis of the growth rate is not possible. Therefore, we conducted this study to analyze the growth rate of cervical roots during the first 2 years of life in detail.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

Children between 0 and 10 years of age admitted to the Children's Hospital of Eastern Switzerland between February 2021 and September 2021 were recruited for this study. The children were hospitalized due to a mild trauma, such as a radius fracture, or mild nonchronic diseases (e.g., human respiratory syncytial virus infections). Our target sample size was 50 children, with the majority belonging to the 0-2 years age group. All children older than 2 years were assigned to the comparison group to compare our results with the existing values in the literature (Druzhinin et al., 2019). Children younger than 2 years were further divided into subgroups based on increments of 100 days of age with at least three children in each group. Criteria for exclusion were a premature birth, neurological diseases or a family history thereof, and chronic or severe acute diseases including brachial plexopathy or other nerve injuries (also compare with the consort diagram). The study was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee (EKOS, approval no: EKSG 19/166). Caregivers of all participants signed the informed consent form for including the child into the study.

For the ultrasound examination, a Canon Aplio i800 machine (Canon Medical Systems, Tokyo, Japan) with two ultrasound probes, i18LX5 (max scanning frequency of 18 MHz) for older children and i22LH8 (max scanning frequency of 22 MHz) for younger children, was

TABLE 1 Demographics and cervical root measurements

Demograph	nics			Diam	eters (mm)	
Age (days)	Weight (kg)	Length (cm)	Sex	C6r	C6I	C5r	C5I
9	3.3	49	М	1.53	1.55	1.40	1.54
14	3.7	51	F	1.42	1.34	1.40	1.37
16	4.7	55	F	1.60	1.37	1.55	1.51
25	4.4	53	М	1.44	1.53	1.44	1.48
27	3.8	53	М	1.42	1.42	1.19	1.19
38	3.7	51	F	1.54	1.54	1.52	1.57
39	5.5	57	М	1.55	1.52	1.57	-
40	3.8	56	F	1.52	1.52	1.21	1.47
41	4.2	53	F	1.63	1.63	1.53	1.53
47	4.8	52	М	1.24	1.31	1.37	1.30
53	5.2	54	F	1.50	1.50	1.50	1.50
55	3.8	56	М	1.53	1.61	-	1.44
60	5.4	60	М	1.50	-	1.70	-
63	5.1	60	F	1.53	1.55	1.45	1.46
68	4.8	57	-	1.55	1.59	1.60	1.46
70	5.6	59	F	1.55	1.56	1.42	1.46
78	4.5	58	F	1.47	1.50	1.50	1.60
82	5.6	64	М	1.56	1.58	1.54	1.56
93	-	-	F	1.60	1.60	1.50	1.70
107	6.5	61	М	1.89	1.79	1.73	1.89
110	6.1	63	М	1.53	1.43	1.52	1.45
123	3.8	49	F	1.18	1.26	1.08	1.26
126	6.5	64	М	1.44	1.40	1.40	1.43
133	7.7	65	М	1.63	1.74	1.70	1.63
135	6.2	62	F	1.55	1.54	1.53	1.50
141	8.1	68	F	1.70	-	1.70	-
146	8.4	64	М	1.70	1.70	1.60	1.60
153	7.4	67	М	1.74	1.76	1.86	1.71
227	9.8	72	М	1.76	1.57	1.79	1.83
251	6.1	64	F	1.68	1.71	1.66	1.70
257	8.6	75	М	1.71	1.70	1.60	1.87
306	7.4	70	F	1.80	1.90	1.70	1.70
320	9.1	-	М	1.60	1.60	1.65	1.60
322	7.1	69	F	1.59	-	1.60	-
325	8.8	79	М	1.83	1.78	1.74	1.78
335	8.2	70	М	1.73	1.66	1.72	1.70
336	10.5	74	М	1.80	1.80	1.80	1.70
401	11.0	77	М	1.71	1.73	1.70	1.67
417	11.6	79	М	1.70	1.80	1.80	1.70
420	10.7	76	F	1.77	1.78	1.75	1.76
473	19.0	-	М	-	1.78	-	1.75
488	12.3	80	М	1.64	1.60	1.63	-
509	10.7	79	F	-	1.80	-	1.70
						(Con	tinues)

Brain and Behavior

ГАВ	LE 1	(Continued)

Demograph	nics			Diam	eters (mm)	
Age (days)	Weight (kg)	Length (cm)	Sex	C6r	C6I	C5r	C5I
584	-	-	М	1.65	1.64	1.56	1.54
590	9.9	-	М	1.82	1.83	1.72	1.78
598	12.7	85	F	1.71	1.83	1.92	1.87
670	12.0	86	М	1.72	1.70	1.63	1.68
736	11.3	85	F	1.76	1.79	1.72	1.75
750	12.2	85	F	-	1.74	-	1.77
772	11.8	86	М	2.00	2.10	2.00	2.00
781	13.0	88	F	2.10	2.00	2.10	-
829	10.5	86	F	1.80	1.80	1.73	1.80
960	14.5	-	М	1.87	1.75	1.71	1.76
1039	12.8	-	М	1.60	1.60	1.60	1.60
1623	17.0	-	F	2.00	2.00	1.70	1.90
3753	32.9	144	М	2.30	2.50	2.90	-

used. As most of the children examined were below 2 years, the i22LH8 was used more often. The ultrasound device settings were the same as reported by Jenny et al. (2020). The "general" setting, with an imaging depth of 30 mm, was used for the i18LX5 probe, and the "general" setting, with an imaging depth of 17.5 mm, was used for the i22LH8 probe (footprint of 26 mm). This gave an axial resolution in the range of 100 µm for the i18LX5 probe and 50 µm for the i22LH8 probe (Jenny et al., 2020). As this was a pilot study, normative values for echogenicity or elastography were not available.

For the ultrasound examination, the participant's head was tilted slightly to the opposite side and the ultrasound probe was then placed at the lower part of the neck (see Figure 1). To identify the nerve roots, the authors first looked for the transverse process of the C7 cervical vertebra (Gruber et al., 2018; Lapegue et al., 2014; Peer, & Gruber 2013), which is recognized by its "bull's horn" appearance. Once the "bull's horn" was identified, the ultrasound probe was moved one vertebra up cranially to scan for the nerve root C6. After examining the C6, the probe was moved one vertebra further cranially to scan for the nerve root C5. If possible, both the left and right sides of the neck were examined.

During the examination, videos of the examined nerve roots were taken to compensate for the incompliance in the study population. After the examination from the video, a still image of the nerve root was selected and the diameter of the nerve root was measured just distal from the foramen intervertebral before the root bifurcates into different branches. The data were analyzed using the R software (R Core Team 2020).

A Mann–Whitney *U*-test was used to compare the cross-sectional diameter on the left and right side and to test for differences in relation to sex. To put the age, the weight, and the diameter in correlation and test for statistical significance, Kendall's correlation test was used.

Open Access WILEY^\perp

To avoid interobserver reliability, a single examiner (JVDL) educated all participants and prepared and examined them to take the measurements. Intraobserver reliability was also tested by repeating the same measurements on the ultrasound images of three subjects on three different days.

3 | RESULTS

A total of 61 patients were screened to obtain the target sample size. Five children had to be excluded from the study-two had injuries to the median nerve, one to the ulnar nerve, one showed signs of an inflammatory neuropathy, and one due to caregivers' withdrawal from the study. Thus, 56 children (25 females) aged between 9 days and 10 years were included in the study, of which 47 belonged to <2 years age group and were included in the main study group. The age distribution of the whole study group is shown in Figure 1c1 and that of the children aged below 2 years is given in Figure 1c2.

3.1 | Imaging of the roots C5 and C6

Figure 2 shows ultrasound images of the cervical roots C5 and C6 for children aged 20, 50, and 500 days (16.4 months). The figure gualitatively shows the increase in diameter of the root from birth to the age of 1.5 years.

3.2 Cross-sectional diameter

The obtained measurements of the diameter of the nerve roots are listed in Table 1 and median values for each age group are shown in Table 2. The Mann–Whitney U-test was used to compare the left and right sides; no statistically significant difference was found between the two sides (median difference for root C5: 0.04 mm; median difference for root C6: 0.03 mm). Visual inspection of the ultrasound still images revealed an increase in the size of the nerve roots with age (see Figure 2). To visualize this qualitative finding, scatter plots depicting the nerve root diameters at different ages are shown in Figure 3 for the complete study and in Figures 4 and S1 for the main study group (children <2 years). The Kendall correlation test was applied for all four roots (C6-r, C6-l, C5-r, and C5-l) to test the correlation between age and diameter (Hollander & Wolfe, 1973). The test showed highly significant correlations for all four locations (C6-r: tau = 0.52, $p < 10^{-7}$; C6-l: tau = 0.52, $p < 10^{-7}$; C5-r: tau = 0.53, $p < 10^{-7}$; C5-l: tau = 0.50, $p < 10^{-6}$), confirming a statistically significant increase in the diameter with age for all four locations.

In addition to the relation between age and diameter, a highly significant relation between weight and diameter especially for the children below the age of 2 years was found (regression analysis [Wilkinson & Rogers, 1973] showed: C6-r: $R^2 = .4412$, $p < 10^{-6}$; C6-l: $R^2 = .4087$, $p < 10^{-6}$; C5-r: $R^2 = .5277$, $p < 10^{-7}$; C5-l: $R^2 = .4446$; $p < 10^{-5}$; see Figure S2).

TABLE 2 Medi	an values of the r	nerve roots											
Age		Cór			C6I			C5r			C5I		
Age from (days)	Age to (days)	Q25	Median	Q75	Q25	Median	Q75	Q25	Median	Q75	Q25	Median	Q75
0	120	1.48	1.53	1.55	1.50	1.53	1.56	1.40	1.50	1.54	1.46	1.48	1.53
120	240	1.53	1.61	1.70	1.43	1.60	1.74	1.50	1.56	1.70	1.45	1.60	1.70
240	360	1.69	1.71	1.73	1.64	1.70	1.71	1.63	1.66	1.73	1.77	1.83	1.85
360	480	1.63	1.77	1.80	1.66	1.78	1.80	1.66	1.71	1.73	1.70	1.70	1.70
480	600	1.71	1.71	1.74	1.75	1.78	1.79	1.73	1.75	1.77	1.69	1.70	1.73
600	720	1.64	1.64	1.64	1.69	1.78	1.79	1.63	1.63	1.63	1.71	1.73	1.74
720	840	1.68	1.71	1.77	1.73	1.83	1.83	1.64	1.72	1.82	1.66	1.78	1.83
840	960	1.72	1.72	1.72	1.70	1.70	1.70	1.63	1.63	1.63	1.68	1.68	1.68
960	1080	1.88	2.00	2.05	1.78	1.90	2.02	1.86	2.00	2.05	1.76	1.77	1.89
Note: The median, 25	5th, and 75th quar	tiles are listed f	for all nerve ro	ots-C6-right,	C6-left, C5-rig	tht, and C5-lef	t. Age segment	ts are of 120 d	ays.				



FIGURE 3 Top left: root C5-left; top right: root C5-right; bottom left: root C6-left; bottom right: root C6-right. The panels cover the whole age range of the study (main study group and comparison group). The measured diameter is plotted against age (green dots: right arm; red dots: left arm). The logarithmic regression curve is plotted in each panel as a black line, with the upper and lower limits of the 95% confidence intervals plotted as dotted lines above and below

Logarithmic regression curve 3.3

The increase in diameter was most significant during the first 2 years of age, and the slope of increase flattened with increasing age. This is typical of processes that are governed by a logarithmic model. Therefore—as previously reported (Jenny et al., 2020)—a logarithmic model

Diameter = $b + a \times \log(\text{Age}[\text{days}])$

was chosen, where a is the slope and b is the intersection, and a regression analysis, as described by Natale and Rajagopalan (2014), was performed. The model was tested for each location and for the whole study group and the main study group, and *a* and *b* were numerically determined by regression analysis (Wilkinson & Rogers, 1973). The parameters obtained for the whole study group were as follows: (main study group and control group together) C6-r: a = 0.10, b = 1.15, standard error of the regression = 0.128, $p < 10^{-8}$; C6-I: a = 0.10, b = 1.13, standard error of the regression = 0.123, $p < 10^{-9}$; C5-I: a = 0.10, b = 1.11, standard error of the regression = 0.146, $p < 10^{-7}$;

C5-r: a = 0.10, b = 1.13, standard error of the regression = 0.126, $p < 10^{-8}$.

The values obtained focusing on the main study group were as follows: C6-r: a = 0.08, b = 1.22, standard error of the regression = 0.118, $p < 10^{-6}$; C6-I: a = 0.09, b = 1.17, standard error of the regression = 0.114, $p < 10^{-7}$; C5-I: a = 0.10, b = 1.11, standard error of the regression = $0.139, p < 10^{-6}$; C5-r: a = 0.10, b = 1.34, standard error of the regression = 0.127, $p < 10^{-6}$.

The results of the logarithmic model are plotted in Figures 3 and 4. In summary, the regression analysis showed a highly significant result with a low standard error of the regression, suggesting that the increase in diameter is well described by the logarithmic model.

DISCUSSION 4

This study aimed to evaluate the relationship between the diameter of the cervical roots C5 and C6 and age in children ranging from neonates to 10 years of age. No differences were observed in



FIGURE 4 Top left: root C5-left; top right: root C5-right; bottom left: root C6-left; bottom right: root C6-right. The panels focus on the main study group. The measured diameter is plotted against age (green dots: right arm; red dots: left arm). The logarithmic regression curve is plotted in each panel as a black line, with the upper and lower limits of the 95% confidence intervals plotted as dotted lines above and below

the nerve root diameter between the left and right sides or with gender differences. However, we observed a statistically highly significant correlation between age and nerve-root diameter. Similar to the previously reported results for the median nerve (Jenny et al., 2020), we obtained a logarithmic relation between age and crosssectional diameter of the nerve roots, that is, the increase in the diameter of the C5 and C6 nerve roots follows a logarithmic growth curve. Notably, the most significant increase in the diameter happened during the first 24 months of life, and from then on, the increment slows down significantly. Jenny described that the crosssectional area of the median nerve follows a logarithmic growth curve during the first 2 years of life, and further proposed a close correlation between nerve conduction velocity and nerve size. After the evidence that the increase in nerve conduction velocity follows the same logarithmic curve as the increase in area, the authors further questioned how and when does the proximal part of the nerve mature.

This study now shows that the maturation of the nerve roots follows the same temporal pattern found for the median nerve (Jenny et al., 2020). Both studies together suggest a synchronous maturation of the axons and myelin sheath throughout the whole nerve, from the radix to the very distal part of a nerve. Given that the weight and age of young children are closely correlated, we also expected to see a relation between weight and diameter. As expected, this correlation is present in our data.

However, despite the substantial findings of our research, this study raises further questions. First, "Is the functional maturation (increase in nerve conduction velocity) of the proximal parts of the nerve like the one that is occurring at the distal parts?" Second, "Is the maturation of the lower body nerves like the maturation of the median nerve and the other nerves of the upper extremities?" These questions will be addressed in future studies.

A limitation of the study is the small number of subjects analyzed, especially those belonging to the 2–10 years age group (n = 10). Also, this study was designed as a cross-sectional study; a longitudinal approach would be more appropriate to address the question of increase in the nerve diameter in different individuals. As this was a pilot study, further studies will aim to involve a larger study population being observed over a longer period of time. Hence, more modern approaches like ultrasound elastography or semiautomatic grayscale will additionally complement the phenomenon of peripheral nerve maturation.

WILEY

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the children and parents who participated in the study. This study was supported by a grant from the Science Commission of the Cantonal Hospital, St. Gallen (20/31) and by a donation from the "Stiftung OPOS zugunsten von Wahrnehmungsbehinderten, St. Gallen, CH."

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

CONSORT DIAGRAM

The CONSORT (2010) flowchart shows the process of enrollment and allocation for this study.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

All relevant data for this study are included in the main document.

PEER REVIEW

The peer review history for this article is available at: https://publons. com/publon/10.1002/brb3.2649.

ORCID

Philip J. Broser (D) https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4477-1432

REFERENCES

- Druzhinin, D., Naumova, E., & Nikitin, S. (2019). Nerve ultrasound normal values in children and young adults. *Muscle & Nerve*, 60(6), 757–761. https://doi.org/10.1002/mus.26715
- García-García, A., & Calleja-Fernández, J. (2004). Neurofisiología del desarrollo y la maduración del sistema nervioso periférico Neurophysiology of the development and maturation of the peripheral nervous system. *Revista de Neurologia*, 38(1), 79–83.
- Gruber, H., Loizides, A., & Moriggl, B. (Eds.). (2018). Nervensonographie kompakt. Springer-Verlag: .
- Hollander, M., & Wolfe, D. A. (1973). Kendall and Spearmen test. In Hollander, M. & Wolfe, D., (Eds.), *Nonparametric statistical methods* (pp. 185–194). John Wiley & Sons.
- Jenny, C., Lütschg, J., & Broser, P. J. (2020). Change in cross-sectional area of the median nerve with age in neonates, infants and children analyzed by high-resolution ultrasound imaging. *European Journal of Paediatric Neurology*, 29, 137–143. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejpn.2020.07.017
- Kaplan, S., Odaci, E., Unal, B., Sahin, B., & Fornaro, M. (2009). Chapter 2: Development of the peripheral nerve. *International Review*

of Neurobiology, 87, 9-26. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0074-7742(09) 87002-5

- Lapegue, F., Faruch-Bilfeld, M., Demondion, X., Apredoaei, C., Bayol, M. A., Artico, H., Chiavassa-Gandois, H., Railhac, J. J., & Sans, N. (2014). Ultrasonography of the brachial plexus, normal appearance and practical applications. *Diagnostic and Interventional Imaging*, 95(3), 259–275. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diii.2014.01.020
- Natale, V., & Rajagopalan, A. (2014). Worldwide variation in human growth and the World Health Organization growth standards: A systematic review. *BMJ Open*, 4(1), e003735. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2013-003735
- Parano, E., Uncini, A., De Vivo, D. C., & Lovelace, R. E. (1993). Electrophysiologic correlates of peripheral nervous system maturation in infancy and childhood. *Journal of Child Neurology*, 8(4), 336–338. https://doi.org/10. 1177/088307389300800408
- Peer, S., & Gruber, H. (Eds.). (2013). Atlas of peripheral nerve ultrasound: With anatomic and MRI correlation. Springer Science & Business Media.
- R Core Team. (2020). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing. https://www.R-project.org
- Raimbault, J. (1988). Les conductions nerveuses chez l'enfant normal: Étude et évolution. Expansion Scientifique Française.
- Walker, F., & Cartwright, M. S. (2011). *Neuromuscular ultrasound E-book*. Elsevier Health Sciences.
- Wang, W., & Wang, Q. (2020). Sonographic measurements of normal C5-C8 nerve roots in children. *Muscle & Nerve*, 61(5), 649–653. https://doi.org/ 10.1002/mus.26826
- Wilkinson, G. N., & Rogers, C. E. (1973). Symbolic description of factorial models for analysis of variance. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Soci*ety. Series C (Applied Statistics), 22(3), 392–399. https://doi.org/10.2307/ 2346786

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information can be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.

How to cite this article: van der Linde, J., Jenny, C., Hundsberger, T., & Broser, P. J. (2022). Correlation of age and the diameter of the cervical nerve roots C5 and C6 during the first 2 years of life analyzed by high-resolution ultrasound imaging. *Brain and Behavior*, e2649. https://doi.org/10.1002/brb3.2649